

Approximate stabilization of bilinear Schrödinger equations

Mazyar Mirrahimi

INRIA Rocquencourt, SISYPHE Project

Presentation outline

- 1 Bilinear Schrödinger equation
- 2 N -D decaying potential (M.M., ArXiv : 0805.0910)
- 3 1D infinite potential well (K. Beauchard and M.M, ArXiv : 0801.1522v1)

Bilinear Schrödinger equation

$$i\frac{d}{dt}\Psi(t) = (H_0 + u(t)H_1)\Psi(t)$$
$$\Psi(0) = \Psi_0$$

($\hbar = 1$)

$\Psi(t) \in \mathcal{H}$: System's wavefunction in appropriate Hilbert space,

H_0, H_1 : Hermitian operators on \mathcal{H} ,

H_0 internal free Hamiltonian and H_1 the interaction Hamiltonian,

$u(t) \in \mathbb{R}$: Scalar control (Laser amplitude for instance).

$$\|\Psi_0\|_{\mathcal{H}} = 1 \implies \|\Psi(t)\|_{\mathcal{H}} = 1 \quad \forall t > 0.$$

Infinite dimensional case : few results

G. Turinici 2000

H_1 bounded operator on $H_x^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and H_0 generating a C^0 -semigroup of bounded linear operators on $H_x^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$

→

the complement of the attainable set, applying L^2 -control fields, is everywhere dense in $\mathbb{S} \cap H_x^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$.

Th. Chambrion et al., 2008

H_0 admitting a discrete spectrum, the eigenvalue differences $\lambda_{j+1} - \lambda_j$ are \mathbb{Q} -linearly independent and $\langle H_1 \phi_j, \phi_{j+1} \rangle \neq 0$

→

the system is approximately controllable.

Infinite dimensional case : stabilization

2 important test cases

$$i \frac{d}{dt} \Psi = -\Delta \Psi + V(x) \Psi + u(t) \mu(x) \Psi.$$

Decaying potential : $V(x) \rightarrow 0$ as $|x| \rightarrow \infty$ with a certain rate.

Infinite potential well : $V(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x \in B_1(0), \\ \infty & \text{else.} \end{cases}$

Controllability :

Decaying potential : Controllability to be studied !

Infinite potential well :

K. Beauchard 2005, K. Beauchard and J.M. Coron 2006

For the 1D case and where $\mu(x) = x$ (moving potential well) : the local controllability (in H^7) and the controllability between the bound states.

V. Nersesyan 2008

For the 1D case and where $\mu(x) = x$ (moving potential well) : the exact controllability (in H^7).

Presentation outline

- 1 Bilinear Schrödinger equation
- 2 N -D decaying potential (M.M., ArXiv : 0805.0910)
- 3 1D infinite potential well (K. Beauchard and M.M, ArXiv : 0801.1522v1)

N-D decaying potential

$$i \frac{d}{dt} \Psi(t, \mathbf{x}) = -\Delta \Psi(t, \mathbf{x}) + V(\mathbf{x}) \Psi(t, \mathbf{x}) + u(t) \mu(\mathbf{x}) \Psi(t, \mathbf{x}), \quad (t, \mathbf{x}) \in \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^N,$$
$$\Psi(0, \mathbf{x}) = \Psi_0(\mathbf{x}), \quad \|\Psi_0\|_{L^2} = 1.$$

Decay assumption (A)

- $N = 1$: $(1 + |\mathbf{x}|) V \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$;
- $N = 2$: $|V(\mathbf{x})| \leq C(1 + |\mathbf{x}|)^{-3-\epsilon}$;
- $N = 3$: $V \in L^{\frac{3}{2}-\epsilon}(\mathbb{R}^3) \cap L^{\frac{3}{2}+\epsilon}(\mathbb{R}^3)$;
- $N \geq 4$: $\widehat{V} \in L^1$ and $(1 + |\mathbf{x}|^2)^{\gamma/2} V(\mathbf{x})$ is a bounded operator on the sobolev space H^ν for some $\nu > 0$ and $\gamma > N + 4$.

Interaction Hamiltonian (B)

$\mu \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^N) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ where $\mathcal{L} = \bigcup_{p \geq 2} L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$.

Spectral properties

Spectra of $H_0 = -\Delta + V(x)$:

$$\sigma(H_0) = \sigma_d(H_0) \cup \sigma_c(H_0),$$

$\sigma_c(H_0) = [0, +\infty)$ absolutely continuous spectrum (absence of singular spectrum) and $\sigma_d(H_0) \subset (-\infty, 0)$ the bound states.

Discrete eigenspace :

$$\mathcal{E}_d = \text{span}\{\phi_i; i = 0, 1, 2, \dots, M\}, \quad \sigma_d(H_0) = \{\lambda_0, \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_M\}.$$

Free Dynamics

Initial state :

$$\Psi_0 = \Psi_{0,c} + \Psi_{0,d}.$$

$S(t)$: C_0 -semigroup spanned by $(-\Delta + V(x))/i$.

Discrete part :

$$\Psi_{0,d} = \sum_{i=0}^M \alpha_i \phi_i(\mathbf{x}) \quad \Rightarrow \quad \Pi_d S(t) \Psi_0 = \sum_{i=0}^M \alpha_i e^{-i\lambda_i t} \phi_i(\mathbf{x}).$$

Absolutely continuous part : dispersive behavior.

Dispersive estimates

Theorem (dispersive estimate)

Under the decay assumption **(A)** on the potential V , we have

$$\|\mathbf{S}(t)\mathbb{P}_{\text{ac}}\|_{1 \rightarrow \infty} \leq |t|^{-\frac{N}{2}}.$$

Corollary (interpolation)

$$\sup_{t>0} |t|^{N\left(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{p}\right)} \|\mathbf{S}(t)\mathbb{P}_{\text{ac}}\psi\|_{L^{p'}} \leq \|\psi\|_{L^p} \quad \text{for all } \psi \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^N) \cap L^2(\mathbb{R}^N),$$

where $1 \leq p \leq 2$ and $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{p'} = 1$.

Strichartz inequality

$$\|\mathbf{S}(t)\mathbb{P}_{\text{ac}}\psi\|_{L_t^q(L_x^p)} \leq C\|\psi\|_{L^2}, \quad \text{for all } \frac{2}{q} + \frac{N}{p} = \frac{N}{2}, \quad 2 < q \leq \infty.$$

Controlled system : a first approach

Similarly to the finite dimensional case (Mirrahimi, Rouchon, Turinici, Automatica : 2005)

$$i \frac{d}{dt} \Psi(t) = (-\Delta + V(x) + u(t)\mu(x))\Psi(t), \quad \Psi(0) = \Psi_0.$$

Target state : ϕ_0 a bound state of $H_0 = -\Delta + V(x)$.

Lyapunov function :

$$\mathcal{V}(\Psi) = 1 - |\langle \Psi, \phi_0 \rangle|^2$$

Thus :

$$\frac{d\mathcal{V}}{dt} = -u(t) \Im(\langle \mu \Psi(t), \phi_0 \rangle \langle \phi_0, \Psi(t) \rangle).$$

Feedback :

$$u = c \Im(\langle \mu \Psi(t), \phi_0 \rangle \langle \phi_0, \Psi(t) \rangle), \quad c > 0$$

LaSalle in finite dimensions

$$\frac{d}{dt}X = f(X), \quad \frac{d}{dt}\mathcal{V}(X) \leq 0.$$

- $0 \leq \mathcal{V}(X) \searrow \alpha$.
- \mathcal{V} being continuous and radially unbounded, X is bounded and so $X(t_n) \rightarrow \bar{X}$.
- \mathcal{V} continuous and so $\mathcal{V}(\bar{X}) = \alpha$.
- We consider \tilde{X} the solution of the system starting at \bar{X} .
- The flow being continuous with respect to the initial state $X(t_n + \tau) \rightarrow \tilde{X}(\tau)$.
- So $\mathcal{V}(\tilde{X}(\tau)) = \mathcal{V}(\tilde{X}(0)) = \alpha$ and therefore the LaSalle invariance principle.

Convergence analysis

Main obstacle

The pre-compactness of trajectories in L^2 even in the case where the system is initialized in the finite dimensional eigenspace spanned by the bound states.

We can have phenomena such as the L^2 -Mass lost at infinity when crossing the continuous part of the spectrum.

Idea

$$\frac{d}{dt}X = f(X), \quad \frac{d}{dt}\mathcal{V}(X) \leq 0.$$

- $0 \leq \mathcal{V}(X) \searrow \alpha$.
- \mathcal{V} being continuous and radially unbounded, X is bounded and so $X(t_n) \rightharpoonup \bar{X}$ in a weak sense.
- If \mathcal{V} continuous with respect to this weak topology, $\mathcal{V}(\bar{X}) = \alpha$.
- We consider \tilde{X} the solution of the system starting at \bar{X} .
- If the flow is continuous with respect to the initial state for this weak topology, $X(t_n + \tau) \rightharpoonup \tilde{X}(\tau)$.
- So $\mathcal{V}(\tilde{X}(\tau)) = \mathcal{V}(\tilde{X}(0)) = \alpha$ and therefore the LaSalle invariance principle.
- Note that this only characterizes a weak ω -limit set and in order to show that we have an approximate strong convergence we need to ensure that only a small part of the mass is lost during the weak convergence.

Main result

Theorem (approximate stabilization)

Consider $(V(x)$ and $\mu(x)$ satisfying **A** and **B**)

$$i \frac{d}{dt} \Psi(t, x) = -\Delta \Psi(t, x) + V(x) \Psi(t, x) + u(t) \mu(x) \Psi(t, x), \quad \Psi|_{t=0} = \Psi_0(x).$$

Assume :

A1 $\Psi_0 = \sum_{i=0}^M \alpha_i \phi_i$, $\{\phi_i\}_{i=0}^M$ bound states of $-\Delta + V(x)$;

A2 $\alpha_0 \neq 0$;

A3 Non-degenerate transitions : $\lambda_{i_1} - \lambda_{j_1} \neq \lambda_{i_2} - \lambda_{j_2}$ for $(i_1, j_1) \neq (i_2, j_2)$;

A4 Simple (mono-photon) transitions : $\langle \mu \phi_i, \phi_j \rangle \neq 0 \quad i \neq j$.

Then for all $\epsilon > 0$, there exists a feedback law of the form

$$u(t) = u_{\epsilon, \alpha}(\Psi(t)) = c \left| f_{\epsilon}(\Psi(t)) \right|^{\alpha} f_{\epsilon}(\Psi(t)), \quad c > 0, \alpha \geq 0,$$

$$f_{\epsilon} = [(1 - \epsilon) \sum_{i=0}^M \Im(\langle \mu \Psi, \phi_i \rangle \langle \phi_i, \Psi \rangle) + \epsilon \Im(\langle \mu \Psi, \phi_0 \rangle \langle \phi_0, \Psi \rangle)]$$

the system admits a unique global strong solution. Furthermore

$$\liminf_{t \rightarrow \infty} | \langle \Psi(t, x), \phi_0(x) \rangle |^2 > 1 - \epsilon.$$

Feedback law

Lyapunov function :

$$\mathcal{V}_\epsilon(\Psi) = 1 - (1 - \epsilon) \sum_{i=0}^M |\langle \Psi, \phi_i \rangle|^2 - \epsilon |\langle \Psi, \phi_0 \rangle|^2.$$

We have,

$$0 \leq \mathcal{V}_\epsilon(\Psi) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{V}_\epsilon(\Psi) = 0 \Leftrightarrow |\langle \Psi, \phi_0 \rangle| = 1,$$

moreover,

$$\mathcal{V}_\epsilon(\Psi_0) \leq \epsilon.$$

Then,

$$\frac{d}{dt} \mathcal{V}_\epsilon(\Psi) = -u(t) f_\epsilon(\Psi(t)),$$

which implies,

$$u(t) = c \left| f_\epsilon(\Psi(t)) \right|^\alpha f_\epsilon(\Psi(t)), \quad c > 0, \alpha \geq 0.$$

Existence and uniqueness of the solution to the closed-loop system :

classical arguments applying Banach fixed point theorem on finite time intervals and where the lengths of the time intervals do not depend on the initial state.

Weak ω -limit set

- $\|\Psi(t, \cdot)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} = 1 \Rightarrow \exists t_n \nearrow \infty : \Psi(t_n) \xrightarrow{L^2\text{-weak}} \Psi_0^\infty$.
- $\mathcal{V}_\epsilon(\Psi)$ is continuous with respect to Ψ in the L^2 -weak topology.
- In particular

$$\mathcal{V}_\epsilon(\Psi_\infty) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{V}_\epsilon(\Psi(t_n)) \leq \mathcal{V}_\epsilon(\Psi_0) < \epsilon,$$

by the assumptions **A1** and **A2**.

- Is the flow continuous w.r.t initial state in a weak topology ?

Weak ω -limit set : continuity of flow

Define the semi-norm

$$\|\psi\|_{\mathcal{H}} = \max(\|\psi\|_{L^2_{\mu^2}}, \|\psi\|_{L^2_d}) = \max(\|\mu\psi\|_{L^2}, \|\Pi_d\psi\|_{L^2}).$$

Lemma (continuity of flow)

Assume $\mu \in L^p \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ for some $p \geq 2$. Take α in the feedback law as follows :

$$\begin{cases} \alpha = \frac{p-2N+\varpi(p-N)}{N-\varpi(p-N)}, & 0 < \varpi < \frac{N}{N-p}, & \text{if } p \geq 2N, \\ \alpha = 0 & & \text{else.} \end{cases}$$

Let $(\Psi_0^n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of \mathbb{S} and $\Psi_0^\infty \in L^2$ with

$$\Psi_0^n \xrightarrow{L^2\text{-weak}} \Psi_0^\infty.$$

Let Ψ_n (resp. $\tilde{\Psi}$) be the weak solutions of the system with $\Psi_n(0) = \Psi_0^n$ (resp. with $\tilde{\Psi}(0) = \pi_d \Psi_0$). Then for every $\tau > 0$,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Psi_n(\tau) = \tilde{\Psi}(\tau) \text{ strongly in } \mathcal{H}.$$

Weak ω -limit set

- Consider :

$$i \frac{d}{dt} \Psi_n = -\Delta \Psi_n + V(x) \Psi_n + u_\epsilon(\Psi_n) \mu(x) \Psi_n, \quad \Psi_n|_{t=0} = \Psi(t_n),$$
$$i \frac{d}{dt} \tilde{\Psi} = -\Delta \tilde{\Psi} + V(x) \tilde{\Psi} + u_\epsilon(\tilde{\Psi}) \mu(x) \tilde{\Psi}, \quad \tilde{\Psi}|_{t=0} = \tilde{\Psi}_0 = \Pi_d \Psi_\infty.$$

Applying the continuity of flow and the continuity of \mathcal{V}_ϵ with respect to the semi-norm \mathcal{H}

$$\mathcal{V}_\epsilon(\Psi_n(\tau)) \rightarrow \mathcal{V}_\epsilon(\tilde{\Psi}(\tau)), \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

- We can therefore show, following the usual steps in the LaSalle's invariance principle, and applying the assumption **A3** and **A4**, that the ω -limit set is included in

$$\{\beta \phi_j \mid \beta \in \mathbb{C}, |\beta| \leq 1, j = 1, \dots, N\}$$

Lost mass

- If $\tilde{\Psi}_0 = \beta\phi_j$ with $|\beta| \leq 1$ and $j \neq 0$ then :

$$\mathcal{V}_\epsilon(\Psi_\infty) = \mathcal{V}_\epsilon(\tilde{\Psi}_0) = 1 - (1 - \epsilon)|\beta|^2 \geq \epsilon, \quad \Rightarrow \text{contradiction.}$$

Thus

$$\tilde{\Psi}_0 = \beta\phi_0 \text{ with } 1 - |\beta_0|^2 < \epsilon.$$

This simply implies that :

$$\liminf_{t \rightarrow \infty} |\langle \Psi(\tilde{t}_n), \phi_0 \rangle|^2 > 1 - \epsilon.$$

Further remarks

Relaxations

The assumptions **A2**, **A3** and **A4** can be relaxed exactly as in the finite dimensional case (Beauchard, Coron, Mirrahimi, Rouchon, System and Control Letters : 2007).

Assumption A1

Applying quantum adiabatic theory, it can be relaxed as well,

→

Conjecture : Assume μ of compact support. For any $\Psi_0 \in L^2$ with $\text{supp}(\Psi_0) \subset \text{supp}(\mu)$ and for any $\epsilon > 0$, we can construct a control law u_ϵ permitting us to reach an ϵ -neighborhood of ϕ_0 .

Work in progress !

Presentation outline

- 1 Bilinear Schrödinger equation
- 2 N -D decaying potential (M.M., ArXiv : 0805.0910)
- 3 1D infinite potential well (K. Beauchard and M.M, ArXiv : 0801.1522v1)

1D infinite potential well

$$\begin{aligned}i \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \Psi(t, x) &= -\frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 \Psi}{\partial x^2}(t, x) - u(t)x \Psi(t, x), & x \in \left(-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right) \\ \Psi(0, x) &= \Psi_0(x), \\ \Psi(t, \pm \frac{1}{2}) &= 0.\end{aligned}$$

Spectra of $A_0 = -\frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2}$:

$$\lambda_k = \lambda_{k,0} = \frac{k^2 \pi^2}{2}, \quad \phi_k = \phi_{k,0} = \begin{cases} \sqrt{2} \cos(k\pi x), & \text{when } k \text{ is odd,} \\ \sqrt{2} \sin(k\pi x), & \text{when } k \text{ is even.} \end{cases}$$

1D infinite potential well

Stabilization of the ground state ϕ_1

Main obstacle

The pre-compactness of trajectories in L^2 . **We can have phenomena such as the L^2 -Mass lost through high-energy levels.**

Main result

Theorem (approximate stabilization)

Let $N \in \mathbb{N}^*$. There exists $\sigma^\sharp = \sigma^\sharp(N) > 0$ such that, for every $\sigma \in (-\sigma^\sharp, \sigma^\sharp) - \{0\}$, $\gamma \in (0, 1)$, $\epsilon > 0$, and $\Psi_0 \in \mathcal{S} \cap H^2 \cap H_0^1$ verifying

$$\sum_{k=N+1}^{\infty} |\langle \Psi_0, \phi_{k,\sigma} \rangle|^2 < \frac{\epsilon \gamma^2}{1-\epsilon} \quad \text{and} \quad |\langle \Psi_0, \phi_{1,\sigma} \rangle| \geq \gamma,$$

the Cauchy problem

$$i \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \Psi(t, x) = -\frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 \Psi}{\partial x^2}(t, x) - u(t)x\Psi(t, x), \quad x \in \left(-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right)$$

$$\Psi(0, x) = \Psi_0(x), \quad \Psi(t, \pm \frac{1}{2}) = 0,$$

$$u(t) = \sigma + v_{\sigma, N, \epsilon} = \sigma + \Im \left((1-\epsilon) \sum_{k=1}^N \langle x\Psi, \phi_{k,\sigma} \rangle \langle \phi_{k,\sigma}, \Psi \rangle + \epsilon \langle x\Psi, \phi_{1,\sigma} \rangle \langle \phi_{1,\sigma}, \Psi \rangle \right)$$

has a unique strong solution Ψ , moreover, this solution satisfies

$$\liminf_{t \rightarrow +\infty} |\langle \Psi(t), \phi_{1,\sigma} \rangle|^2 \geq 1 - \epsilon.$$

Idea of the proof

Lyapunov function

$$\mathcal{V}_\epsilon(\Psi) = 1 - (1 - \epsilon) \sum_{i=1}^M (\Psi_0) |\langle \Psi, \phi_i \rangle|^2 - \epsilon |\langle \Psi, \phi_1 \rangle|^2,$$

$\Psi_0 \in H_0^1 \cap H^2$, $M(\Psi_0)$ is the number of the bound states needed to cover a $1 - O(\epsilon)$ population of Ψ_0 .

Continuity of the flow after some technicalities one can prove that the flow of the closed-loop system is continuous for the H^{-1} -norm. Note moreover that \mathcal{V}_ϵ is continuous for this norm.

Lost mass A population of order $1 - O(\epsilon)$ of the initial state Ψ_0 being covered by the $M(\Psi_0)$ first bound states, the Lyapunov function \mathcal{V}_ϵ does not allow a mass lost of an order more than $O(\epsilon)$.