

Carleman estimates and null controllability for parabolic problems in some non standard cases

J. Vancostenoble

Institut de Mathématiques de Toulouse
Université Paul Sabatier, Toulouse III

Groupe de travail EDP - GDR MACS - LAAS Juin 2008

Contents

- I. Standard control theory for parabolic problems
- II. Parabolic problems in unbounded domains
- III. Degenerate parabolic problems (vanishing diffusion coefficient at the boundary)
- IV. Parabolic problems with an inverse-square potential
- V. Conclusion and perspectives

I. Standard control theory for parabolic problems

The null controllability problem for the heat equation

$$T > 0$$

$$\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N \text{ bounded}$$

$$\emptyset \neq \omega \subset \Omega$$

$$Q_T := (0, T) \times \Omega$$

$$\Sigma_T := (0, T) \times \partial\Omega$$

The control problem

$$\begin{cases} u_t - \Delta u = h\chi_\omega & Q_T \\ u = 0 & \Sigma_T \\ u(t=0) = u_0 & \Omega \end{cases}$$

Question : null controllability (N.C.) in time T ?

$\forall u_0 \in L^2(\Omega), \exists? h \in L^2(Q_T)$ such that $u(t=T) \equiv 0$ in Ω ?

I. Standard control theory for parabolic problems

The null controllability problem for the heat equation

Result for the heat equation in bounded domain :

N.C. in any time $T > 0$

- Russell (1973)
wave eq. E.C. \rightsquigarrow heat eq. N.C., geometric condition on the support of the control, (harmonic analysis, Fourier transform)
- Fattorini/Russell (1971,1974)
 $1 - d$ or $\Omega =$ a ball, (Fourier series, moment problems)
- Lebeau/Robbiano (1995)
heat equation, constant coeff., distributed or boundary control, (Fourier decomposition, exponential estimate on the size of the control required to control low frequencies, Carleman estimates for elliptic problems)
- Fursikov/Imanuvilov (1995, 1996)
parabolic equation, variable coeff., (reduction to observability, global Carleman estimates for parabolic problems)

I. Standard control theory for parabolic problems

Equivalent problem : observability for the homogeneous adjoint problem

Adjoint problem :

$$\begin{cases} v_t + \Delta v = 0 & Q_T \\ v = 0 & \Sigma_T \end{cases}$$

Observability inequality

$$\int_{\Omega} v(0)^2 dx \leq C_T \int_0^T \int_{\omega} v^2 dx dt$$

Proposition

Observability (in time T) for the adjoint problem
 \Rightarrow null controllability (in time T)

I. Standard control theory for parabolic problems

Proof : observability \Rightarrow N.C.

- Penalized minimization problem

$$\text{Min}_{h \in L^2(\Omega)} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} h^2 dx dt + \frac{1}{2\varepsilon} \int_{\Omega} u(T)^2 dx \right\}$$

existence of a unique minimizer

- Characterization of the minimizer : $\forall \varepsilon > 0$, $h^\varepsilon = -v^\varepsilon \chi_\omega$

$$\begin{cases} v_t^\varepsilon + \Delta v^\varepsilon = 0 & Q_T \\ v^\varepsilon = 0 & \Sigma_T \\ v^\varepsilon(T) = \frac{1}{\varepsilon} u^\varepsilon(T) & \Omega \end{cases}$$

- A priori estimates

$$\begin{aligned}
 \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_{\Omega} u^{\varepsilon}(T)^2 + \int_0^T \int_{\omega} (h^{\varepsilon})^2 &= \int_{\Omega} u_0 v^{\varepsilon}(0) \\
 &\leq C_{\eta} \int_{\Omega} u_0^2 + \eta \int_{\Omega} v^{\varepsilon}(0)^2 \\
 &\leq C_{\eta} \int_{\Omega} u_0^2 + \eta C \int_0^T \int_{\omega} (v^{\varepsilon})^2 \\
 &\leq C \int_{\Omega} u_0^2 + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T \int_{\omega} (v^{\varepsilon})^2
 \end{aligned}$$

- Consequence

$$\begin{aligned}
 \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_{\Omega} u^{\varepsilon}(T)^2 + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T \int_{\omega} (h^{\varepsilon})^2 &\leq C \int_{\Omega} u_0^2 \\
 \Rightarrow \frac{1}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}} u^{\varepsilon}(T) &\rightharpoonup w_T, \quad h^{\varepsilon} \rightharpoonup h \quad \text{and} \quad u^{\varepsilon} \rightharpoonup u
 \end{aligned}$$

- Limit as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$

$$\begin{cases} u_t^\varepsilon - \Delta u^\varepsilon = h^\varepsilon \chi_\omega & Q_T \\ u^\varepsilon = 0 & \Sigma_T \\ u^\varepsilon(0) = u_0 & \Omega \end{cases} \xrightarrow{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \begin{cases} u_t - \Delta u = h \chi_\omega & Q_T \\ u = 0 & \Sigma_T \\ u(0) = u_0 & \Omega \end{cases}$$

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}} u^\varepsilon(T) \xrightarrow{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} w_T \text{ in } L^2(\Omega) \Rightarrow u^\varepsilon(T) \xrightarrow{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} 0$$

$$\Rightarrow u(T) = 0 \text{ in } \Omega$$



Question : how to get the observability inequality ?

$$\int_{\Omega} v(0)^2 dx \leq C_T \int_0^T \int_{\omega} v^2 dx dt$$

I. Standard control theory for parabolic problems

Global Carleman estimates

$$\begin{cases} v_t + \Delta v = 0 & Q_T \\ v = 0 & \Sigma_T \end{cases}$$

Global Carleman estimates

Fursikov/Imanuvilov, 1996

For S, R large enough,

$$\int_0^T \int_{\Omega} \left(R^3 S^3 \theta^3 v^2 + RS\theta |\nabla v|^2 \right) e^{-2R\sigma} dxdt \leq C_T \int_0^T \int_{\omega} v^2 dxdt$$

$$\sigma(t, x) = \theta(t)(e^{2S\|\Phi\|_{\infty}} - e^{S\Phi(x)}) > 0 \text{ in } (0, T) \times \Omega$$

$$\theta(t) \rightarrow +\infty \text{ as } t \rightarrow 0^+, T^-, \quad \Phi > 0 \text{ in } \Omega$$

$$\Phi|_{\partial\Omega} = 0, \quad \partial_{\nu}\Phi|_{\partial\Omega} \leq 0, \quad \{x \mid \nabla\Phi(x) = 0\} \subset \omega$$

I. Standard control theory for parabolic problems

Application to observability

- Apply Carleman estimates (zero order term) :

$$\int_0^T \int_{\Omega} v^2 \underbrace{\theta^3 e^{-2R\sigma}}_{\rightarrow 0 \text{ as } t \rightarrow 0^+, T^-} dx dt \leq C \int_0^T \int_{\omega} v^2 dx dt$$

$$\Rightarrow \int_{T/4}^{3T/4} \int_{\Omega} v^2 dx dt \leq C \int_0^T \int_{\omega} v^2 dx dt$$

- Use $t \mapsto \int_{\Omega} v(t)^2 dx$ increasing :

$$\int_{\Omega} v(0)^2 dx \leq \frac{2}{T} \int_{T/4}^{3T/4} \int_{\Omega} v^2 dx dt \leq C \int_0^T \int_{\omega} v^2 dx dt$$

I. Standard control theory for parabolic problems

Global Carleman estimates = powerful tool

- Second order parabolic operators with variable coefficients

$$\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N, \quad T > 0 \quad A : \Omega \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_n(\mathbb{R}) \text{ (symmetric matrices)}$$

$$\emptyset \neq \omega \subset \Omega \quad V : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

Control problem

$$\begin{cases} u_t - \operatorname{div}(A(x)\nabla u) + V(x)u = h\chi_\omega & Q_T \\ u = 0 & \Sigma_T \\ u(t=0) = u_0 & \Omega \end{cases}$$

Fursikov/Imanuvilov (Lect. Notes, 1996)

Under “standard” assumptions : global Carleman estimates
 \Rightarrow observability inequality \Rightarrow N.C. in any time $T > 0$

- Application to more general operators and to semi-linear problems

I. Standard control theory for parabolic problems

Part of the other references on the subject

- Carleman estimates and appl. to control and UCP :
Tataru (94-97...), Albano/Tataru (00,04)...
- Semi-linear problems, (approx. C., N.C. and finite dim. N.C.) :
Fabre/Puel/Zuazua (95), Fernández-Cara (97), Zuazua (97),
Fernández-Cara/Zuazua (00), Anița/Tataru (02),
Coron/Trélat (04,06)...
- Navier-Stokes equations : Fursikov/Imanuvilov (94,96,99),
Coron (95), Coron-Fursikov (96), Imanuvilov (97,98,01),
Barbu (02), Fernández-Cara/Guerrero/Imanuvilov/Puel
(04,05,06), Barbu/Lasiecka/Triggiani (stabilization, 06,07),
Raymond (stabilization, 06,07)...
- Hyperbolic Carleman estimates : Lasiecka/Triggiani/Yao (97),
X. Zhang (00), Imanuvilov/Yamamoto (03)...
- Schrödinger equation : Baudouin/Puel (02),
Lasiecka/Triggiani/Zhang (04), Mercado/Osses/Rosier (08)...

I. Standard control theory for parabolic problems

Field of application of the standard theory

“Standard” assumptions for global Carleman estimates :

- 1 Ω is a bounded domain
- 2 A regular (smooth coeff.) + uniform ellipticity condition :
 $\exists \alpha > 0 \quad \forall x \in \overline{\Omega} \quad \forall \xi \in \mathbb{R}^N \quad A(x)\xi \cdot \xi \geq \alpha|\xi|^2$
(\rightsquigarrow uniformly parabolic equation)
- 3 V is regular “enough”
 $V \in L^\infty(\Omega)$ or even $V \in L^p(\Omega)$ with $p > 2N/3$

References : - Fursikov/Imanuvilov (Lect. Notes, 1996)
- Imanuvilov/Yamamoto (2003)

Question : what happens **without** one of the above assumptions ?

Objectives of this talk

Discuss 3 “non-standard” situations

- 1 Ω unbounded
- 2 A regular elliptic but non uniformly elliptic,
i.e. A positive definite in Ω but not in $\overline{\Omega}$
ex : vanishing diffusion coefficient at the boundary in $1 - d$
- 3 V singular $\notin L^p(\Omega)$ with $p > 2N/3$
ex : inverse-square potential $V(x) = \frac{\lambda}{|x|^2}$

Discuss the links between these 3 situations



Objectives of this talk

Motivations

- Degenerate parabolic problems
 - ▶ arise in many domains : physics, biology, economy..
 - ▶ A definite positive only in Ω : gene frequency models (Wright-Fischer, Fleming-Viot) ; Budyko-Sellers climate models ; Black-Scholes (finance theory)
 - ▶ A non definite positive in Ω : transport/diffusion coupling
 - linearized Crocco eq. (boundary layer model) : Raymond/Martinez/V. (SICON, 2003)
 - Mc Kendrick age-structured population dynamics models : Ainseba/Anița (2001,2004), Ainseba/Iannelli (2003)...
 - ▶ p-Laplacian degeneracy : porous media, non-Newtonian flows
- Inverse-square potentials
 - ▶ quantum mechanics (Baras/Goldstein, 1994)
 - ▶ linearized combustion models around singular stationary solutions : Mignot/Puel (1988), Brézis/Vázquez (1997)
- Other non standard situations
 - ▶ discontinuous coefficients (stratified media)
 - Le Rousseau (07), Benabdallah/Demerjian/Le Rousseau (07)

II. Parabolic problems in unbounded domains

Negative N.C. results when ω bounded

The heat equation in the half line : $\Omega = (0, +\infty)$, $V \in L^\infty(\Omega)$

$$\begin{cases} u_t - u_{xx} + V(x)u = h\chi_\omega & x \in (0, +\infty), t > 0 \\ u(x=0) = 0 & t > 0 \\ u(t=0) = u_0 & x \in (0, +\infty) \end{cases}$$

Escauriaza/Seregin/Sverak (2003,2004) : backward uniqueness

$$\omega = (0, R) \text{ and } u(t=T) \equiv 0 \Rightarrow \text{supp}(u_0) \subset \omega$$

Consequence : N.C. is false when ω bounded

Previous result : Micu/Zuazua (2001,2002) : $V = 0$, boundary control at $x = 0 \Rightarrow$ N.C. is false even for I.C. in $\mathcal{D}(\Omega)$

Conclusion

Ω unbounded + ω bounded \Rightarrow N.C. false
(even in L^2 -weighted spaces)

II. Parabolic problems in unbounded domains

Positive N.C. results when ω unbounded

- Cabanillas/De Menezes/Zuazua (2001) :

$\omega = (\alpha, +\infty) \Rightarrow$ N.C. holds (in L^2)

(semi-linear problem in dim N , hyp : $\Omega \setminus \omega$ bounded)

Question : smaller (unbounded) ω ?

- Cannarsa/Martinez/V. (COCV, 2004)

$\omega = \cup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} I_n \Rightarrow$ N.C. in suitable L^2 -weighted spaces

Example 1 : $|I_n| = cste \Rightarrow$ N.C. in L^2

Example 2 : $|\omega| < +\infty$

- N.C. holds in suitable L^2 -weighted spaces :

$$\forall u_0 \in L^2_{1/\rho_1}(\Omega), \exists h \in L^2(0, T; L^2(\Omega)) \text{ s.t. } u(T) \equiv 0$$

$$\forall u_0 \in L^2(\Omega), \exists h \in L^2(0, T; L^2_{\rho_2}(\Omega)) \text{ s.t. } u(T) \equiv 0$$

- N.C. in L^2 is false (Miller, 2004)

Proof : cut-off arguments (work in bounded regions) + standard Carleman estimates (sharp estimates of the constants with respect to $(I_n)_n \rightsquigarrow \rho_1, \rho_2$)

II. Parabolic problems in unbounded domains

Positive weaker N.C. results when ω bounded

Recall : ω bounded \Rightarrow N.C. false (even in L^2 -weighted spaces)

Question : replace N.C. by a weaker notion ?

Regional null controllability (R.N.C.) in time T

$\forall u_0 \in L^2(\Omega), \exists h \in L^2(Q_T)$ such that $u(t = T) \equiv 0$ in D where D is a well-chosen sub-domain of Ω ?

Questions :

- find the sub-domain D ?
- required observability inequality ?
- associated penalized problem ?

II. Parabolic problems in unbounded domains

Positive weaker N.C. results when ω bounded

The heat equation in the half line : $\Omega = (0, +\infty)$, $\omega = (\alpha, \beta)$

$$\begin{cases} u_t - u_{xx} = h\chi_{(\alpha, \beta)} & x \in (0, +\infty), t > 0 \\ u(x=0) = 0 & t > 0 \\ u(t=0) = u_0 & x \in (0, +\infty) \end{cases}$$

Theorem Cannarsa/Martinez/V. (CPAA, 2002)

$\forall T > 0, \forall \delta > 0, \forall u_0 \in L^2(\Omega),$
 $\exists h \in L^2(Q_T)$ such that $u(t=T) \equiv 0$ in $D = (0, \beta - \delta)$.

Remark : $D = (0, \beta - \delta)$ is **optimal** :

$\forall \delta > 0$, R.N.C. in $D' = (0, \beta + \delta)$ is false

II. Parabolic problems in unbounded domains

Proof of R.N.C. on $D = (0, \beta - \delta)$

- Modified penalized problems

$$\text{Min}_{h \in L^2(\Omega)} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} h^2 dx dt + \frac{1}{2\varepsilon} \int_D u(T)^2 dx \right\}$$

where D replaces Ω

- Characterization of the minimizer : $\forall \varepsilon > 0$, $h^\varepsilon = -v^\varepsilon \chi_D$

$$\begin{cases} v_t^\varepsilon + \Delta v^\varepsilon = 0 & Q_T \\ v^\varepsilon = 0 & \Sigma_T \\ v^\varepsilon(T) = \frac{1}{\varepsilon} u^\varepsilon(T) \chi_D & \Omega \end{cases}$$

II. Parabolic problems in unbounded domains

Proof of R.N.C. on $D = (0, \beta - \delta)$

- A priori estimates

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_D u^\varepsilon(T)^2 + \int_0^T \int_\omega (h^\varepsilon)^2 &\leq C_\eta \int_\Omega u_0^2 + \eta \int_\Omega v^\varepsilon(0)^2 \\ &\leq C_\eta \int_\Omega u_0^2 + \eta C \int_0^T \int_\omega (v^\varepsilon)^2 \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_D u^\varepsilon(T)^2 + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T \int_\omega (h^\varepsilon)^2 \leq C \int_\Omega u_0^2$$

- Limit as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$

$h^\varepsilon \xrightarrow{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} h$, $u^\varepsilon \xrightarrow{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} u$, u, h solution of the control problem

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}} u^\varepsilon(T) \chi_D \xrightarrow{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} w_T \text{ in } L^2(\Omega) \Rightarrow u^\varepsilon(T) \chi_D \xrightarrow{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} 0 \Rightarrow u(T) = 0 \text{ in } D \quad \square$$

II. Parabolic problems in unbounded domains

The required observability inequality

$$\begin{cases} v_t + \Delta v = 0 & Q_T \\ v = 0 & \Sigma_T \end{cases}$$

Observability inequality for R.N.C. on D

$$\int_{\Omega} v(0)^2 dx \leq C_T \int_0^T \int_{\omega} v^2 dxdt + C_T \int_{\Omega \setminus D} v(T)^2 dx$$

Application : $v^\varepsilon(T) = \frac{1}{\varepsilon} u^\varepsilon(T) \chi_D = 0$ in $\Omega \setminus D$

Hence $\int_{\Omega} v^\varepsilon(0)^2 dx \leq C_T \int_0^T \int_{\omega} (v^\varepsilon)^2 dxdt$

Proof : cut-off argument \rightsquigarrow split the domain into two parts

$\rightarrow (0, \beta - \delta)$ bounded : use standard Carleman estimates

$\rightarrow (\beta - \delta, +\infty)$ unbounded : use energy inequality

III. Degenerate parabolic problems

Effect of a vanishing diffusion coefficient at the boundary?

A class of 1-dimensional degenerate heat equations

$$\begin{cases} u_t - (a(x)u_x)_x = h\chi_\omega & x \in (0, 1), t > 0 \\ \text{B.C. at } x = 0, 1 & t > 0 \\ u(0) = u_0 & x \in (0, 1) \end{cases}$$

Typical example

$$a(x) = x^\alpha, \quad \alpha \geq 0 \quad \rightsquigarrow \quad \text{difficulty : } a(0) = 0$$

B.C. at $x = 1$: $u(t, 1) = 0$ (Dirichlet)

$$\text{B.C. at } x = 0 : \begin{cases} u(t, 0) = 0 & \text{if } \alpha < 1 \\ (au)_x(t, 0) = 0 & \text{if } \alpha \geq 1 \end{cases}$$

III. Degenerate parabolic problems

Well-posedness in suitable weighted Sobolev spaces

Campiti/Metafunne/Pallara (Semigroup Forum, 1998)

■ **Weakly degenerate case $\alpha < 1$:**

$$H_{\alpha}^1(0, 1) = \{u \in L^2(0, 1) \mid \int_0^1 x^{\alpha} u_x^2 dx < \infty, \\ u(0) = 0 = u(1)\}$$

$$D(\mathcal{A}) = \{u \in H_{\alpha}^1(0, 1) \mid x^{\alpha} u_x \in H^1(0, 1)\} \quad \mathcal{A}u = (x^{\alpha} u_x)_x$$

• $u_0 \in L^2(0, 1), \quad h \in L^2(Q_T)$

$\rightsquigarrow u \in \mathcal{C}([0, T]; L^2(0, 1)) \cap L^2(0, T; H_{\alpha}^1(0, 1))$

• $u_0 \in H_{\alpha}^1(0, 1), \quad h \in L^2(Q_T)$

$\rightsquigarrow u \in \mathcal{C}([0, T]; H_{\alpha}^1(0, 1)) \cap H^1(0, T; L^2(0, 1)) \cap L^2(0, T; D(\mathcal{A}))$

■ **Strongly degenerate case $\alpha \geq 1$:** similar results

B.C. $(au)_x(0) = 0$ included in $D(\mathcal{A})$

III. Degenerate parabolic problems

Role of the "degree" of degeneracy

$$\text{Change of variables : } X = \int_x^1 \frac{ds}{\sqrt{a(s)}} \quad U(t, X) = a(x)^{1/4} u(t, x)$$

$$\begin{cases} u_t - (au_x)_x = h\chi_\omega \\ x \in (0, 1) \end{cases} \rightsquigarrow \begin{cases} U_t - U_{XX} + V(X)U = \tilde{h}\chi_{\tilde{\omega}} \\ X \in \tilde{\Omega} \end{cases}$$

$\alpha \geq 2$	$V \in L^\infty(\tilde{\Omega})$	$\tilde{\Omega}$ unbounded
$\alpha < 2$ ($\alpha = 4/3$)	V singular ($V = 0$)	$\tilde{\Omega}$ bounded

\Rightarrow 2 cases where standard Carleman estimates do not apply :

- strong degeneracy ($\alpha \geq 2$) :

use the results for unbounded domains

- weak degeneracy ($\alpha < 2$) :

Remark : $\alpha = 4/3 \Rightarrow$ N.C. is true (since $V = 0$)

Question : N.C. for all $0 \leq \alpha < 2$?

specific Carleman estimates?

III. Degenerate parabolic problems

The strongly degenerate case

Effect of the change of variables :

$$\begin{array}{ll} 0 \rightsquigarrow +\infty & \Omega = (0, 1) \rightsquigarrow \tilde{\Omega} = (0, +\infty) \\ 1 \rightsquigarrow 0 & \omega = (x_0, x_1) \rightsquigarrow \tilde{\omega} = (X_1, X_0) \end{array}$$

Consequence : $\alpha \geq 2 \Rightarrow$ N.C. is false (in general)

More precisely :

- $x_0 = 0 \Rightarrow \tilde{\omega} = (X_1, +\infty)$ is unbounded
 - N.C. is true
- $x_0 \neq 0 \Rightarrow \tilde{\omega} = (X_1, X_0)$ is bounded
 - N.C. is false
 - R.N.C. is true on $D = (x_0 + \delta, 1)$
 - D is optimal

III. Degenerate parabolic problems

The weakly degenerate case ($0 \leq \alpha < 2$)

Main result : $0 \leq \alpha < 2 \Rightarrow$ N.C. is true

Theorem Cannarsa/Martinez/V. (SICON, 2007)

Assume $0 \leq \alpha < 2$. Then N.C. holds : $\forall T > 0, \forall (x_0, x_1) \neq \emptyset, \forall u_0 \in L^2(\Omega), \exists h \in L^2(Q_T)$ such that $u(T) \equiv 0$ in $(0, 1)$.

Proof :

- show equivalence with observability inequality (classical)
- derive specific Carleman estimates (main step !)
- deduce observability inequality

Major changes needed for degenerate parabolic equations :

- the weights in Carleman estimates must be adapted to degeneracy
- to deduce observability, Carleman estimates have to be used up to the first order term instead of the zero order term
- Hardy's inequalities become essential (both to prove Carleman estimate and to deduce observability)

III. Degenerate parabolic problems

Carleman estimates for weakly degenerate problems

$$\text{Adjoint problem : } \begin{cases} v_t + (x^\alpha v_x)_x = 0 & Q_T \\ \text{B.C.} & \Sigma_T \end{cases}$$

Theorem Cannarsa/Martinez/V. (SICON, 2007)

Assume $0 \leq \alpha < 2$. Then, for R large enough,

$$\int_0^T \int_\Omega \left(R^3 \theta^3 x^{2-\alpha} v^2 + R \theta x^\alpha v_x^2 \right) e^{-2R\sigma} dxdt \leq C_T \int_0^T \int_\omega v^2 dxdt$$

where $\sigma(x, t) = \theta(t)(2 - x^{2-\alpha})$

Previous result : Cannarsa/Martinez/V. (Adv. Diff. Eq., 2005)

$$\int_0^T \int_\Omega R^3 \theta^3 v^2 e^{-2R\sigma} dxdt \leq C \int_0^T \int_\omega v^2 dxdt$$

\rightsquigarrow estimates closer to standard Carleman estimates

BUT only proved for $\alpha \in [0, 1/2]$ or $\alpha \in [5/4, 2]$!

III. Degenerate parabolic problems

Observability for weakly degenerate problem

Implication : Carleman estimates \Rightarrow observability ?

$$\int_0^T \int_{\Omega} R^3 x^{2-\alpha} v^2 \underbrace{\theta^3 e^{-2R\sigma}}_{\rightarrow 0 \text{ as } t \rightarrow 0^+, T^-} + R x^{\alpha} v_x^2 \underbrace{\theta e^{-2R\sigma}}_{\rightarrow 0 \text{ as } t \rightarrow 0^+, T^-} \leq C_T \int_0^T \int_{\omega} v^2$$

$$\Rightarrow \int_{T/4}^{3T/4} \int_{\Omega} \left(R^3 \underbrace{x^{2-\alpha}}_{\rightarrow 0 \text{ as } x \rightarrow 0} v^2 + R x^{\alpha} v_x^2 \right) dx dt \leq C_T \int_0^T \int_{\omega} v^2 dx dt$$

Remarks :

- zero order estimate is too weak !
- must use gradient estimate + Hardy inequalities : $\alpha \in [0, 2) \setminus \{1\}$

$$\forall \varphi \in H_{\alpha}^1(0, 1), \quad \int_0^1 \frac{\varphi^2}{x^{2-\alpha}} dx \leq \frac{4}{(\alpha - 1)^2} \int_0^1 x^{\alpha} \varphi_x^2 dx$$

III. Degenerate parabolic problems

Proof : Carleman estimates \Rightarrow observability

- Apply Carleman estimates (first order term) :

$$\int_{T/4}^{3T/4} \int_{\Omega} x^{\alpha} v_x^2 dx dt \leq C \int_0^T \int_{\omega} v^2 dx dt$$

- Use $t \mapsto \int_0^1 x^{\alpha} v_x(t)^2 dx$ increasing :

$$\int_{\Omega} x^{\alpha} v_x(0)^2 dx \leq \frac{2}{T} \int_{T/4}^{3T/4} \int_{\Omega} x^{\alpha} v_x^2 dx dt \leq C \int_0^T \int_{\omega} v^2 dx dt$$

- Use Hardy inequalities :

$$\int_0^1 v^2(0) dx \leq \int_0^1 \frac{v^2(0)}{x^{2-\alpha}} dx \leq C \int_0^1 x^{\alpha} v_x^2(0) dx \leq C \int_0^T \int_{\omega} v^2 dx dt$$

III. Degenerate parabolic problems

Extensions to more general weakly degenerate problems

- Martinez/V. (J. Evol. Eq., 2006)
general coefficient $a(x)$ with $1/\sqrt{a} \in L^1(0, 1)$:
$$u_t - (a(x)u_x)_x = \chi_\omega h$$
- Alabau-Boussouira/Fragnelli/Cannarsa (J. Evol. Eq., 2006)
semi-linear problem + general coefficient $a(x)$:
$$u_t - (a(x)u_x)_x + g(u) = \chi_\omega h$$
- Cannarsa/Fragnelli/Rocchetti (Netw. Heterog. Media 2007)
non-divergence form + drift term :
$$u_t - a(x)u_{xx} + b(x)u_x = \chi_\omega h$$
- Cannarsa/Martinez/V. (work in progress)
higher dimension operator with degeneracy at the boundary in the normal direction : $u_t - \operatorname{div}(A(x)\nabla u) = \chi_\omega h$
e-values of $A(x) = \{\lambda_1(x), \lambda_2(x)\}$ with e-vectors $\varepsilon_1(x), \varepsilon_2(x)$
$$\begin{cases} \lambda_1(x) = d_{\partial\Omega}(x)^\alpha, & \varepsilon_1(x) = \nu(x) & \text{near } \partial\Omega \\ \lambda_2(x) \geq m > 0 & & \forall x \in \Omega \end{cases}$$

IV. Parabolic problems with an inverse-square potential

Replace $-\Delta$ by $-\Delta - V(x)I$ in the heat equation?

$$T > 0 \quad 0 \in \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N \text{ bounded} \quad \emptyset \neq \omega \subset \Omega$$

$$\begin{cases} u_t - \Delta u - V(x)u = h\chi_\omega & Q_T \\ u = 0 & \Sigma_T \\ u(t=0) = u_0 & \Omega \end{cases}$$

- $V \in L^\infty(\Omega)$: standard results holds (well-posedness + N.C)
- Question : inverse square potentials $V(x) = \lambda/|x|^2$?

Essential tool : Hardy inequality

$$\forall \varphi \in H_0^1(\Omega), \quad \underbrace{\frac{(N-2)^2}{4}}_{=: \lambda_*(N)} \int_\Omega \frac{\varphi^2}{|x|^2}, dx \leq \int_\Omega |\nabla \varphi|^2 dx$$

$\Rightarrow -\Delta - \lambda|x|^{-2}I$ still coercive in $H_0^1(\Omega)$ when $\lambda < \lambda_*(N)$
and at least nonnegative in $H_0^1(\Omega)$ when $\lambda \leq \lambda_*(N)$

IV. Parabolic problems with an inverse-square potential

Well-posedness

Baras/Goldstein (Trans. AMS, 1984)

Vázquez/Zuazua (J. Funct. Anal., 2000)

- **super-critical case** : $\lambda > \lambda_*(N)$

lack of well-posedness

(instantaneous blow-up of positive solutions)

- **sub-critical case** : $\lambda < \lambda_*(N)$

global existence in standard functional setting

$u_0 \in L^2(\Omega), h \in L^2(Q_T)$

$\Rightarrow u \in C([0, T]; L^2(\Omega)) \cap L^2(0, T; H_0^1(\Omega))$

- **critical case** : $\lambda = \lambda_*(N)$

replace $H_0^1(\Omega)$ by $H := \overline{\mathcal{D}(\Omega)}^{\|\cdot\|_H}$

$$\|\varphi\|_H^2 := \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \varphi|^2 dx - \lambda_*(N) \int_{\Omega} \frac{\varphi^2}{|x|^2} dx$$

$u_0 \in L^2(\Omega), h \in L^2(Q_T) \Rightarrow u \in C([0, T]; L^2(\Omega)) \cap L^2(0, T; H)$

IV. Parabolic problems with an inverse-square potential

N.C. in the sub-critical and critical cases

Remark : interesting case $\rightsquigarrow 0 \notin \omega$

The $1 - d$ case :

$$\begin{cases} u_t - u_{xx} - \frac{\lambda}{|x|^2} u = h\chi_\omega & x \in (0, 1), t > 0 \\ u(x=0) = 0 = u(x=1) & t > 0 \\ u(t=0) = u_0 & x \in (0, 1) \end{cases}$$

Theorem : V./Zuazua (J. Funct. Anal., 2008)

Assume $\lambda \leq \lambda_*(1) = 1/4$. Then N.C. holds.

Proof : specific Carleman estimates \Rightarrow observability \Rightarrow N.C.

IV. Parabolic problems with an inverse-square potential

Carleman estimates for problems with singular potentials

Adjoint problem :

$$\begin{cases} v_t + v_{xx} + \frac{\lambda}{|x|^2} v = 0 & x \in (0, 1), t > 0 \\ v(x=0) = 0 = v(x=1) & t > 0 \end{cases}$$

Theorem : V./Zuazua

(J. Funct. Anal., 2008)

Assume $\lambda \leq \lambda_*(1) = 1/4$. Then, for all $\gamma < 2$,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^T \int_0^1 R^3 \theta^3 x^2 v^2 e^{-2R\sigma} + \left(\frac{1}{4} - \lambda\right) \int_0^T \int_0^1 R \theta \frac{v^2}{x^2} e^{-2R\sigma} \\ + \int_0^T \int_0^1 R \theta \frac{v^2}{x^\gamma} e^{-2R\sigma} \leq C_T \int_0^T \int_\omega v^2 \end{aligned}$$

Application to observability : $\gamma = 0$

$$\int_0^1 v(0)^2 dx \leq \frac{2}{T} \int_{T/4}^{3T/4} \int_0^1 v^2 dx dt \leq C_T \int_0^T \int_\omega v^2$$

IV. Parabolic problems with an inverse-square potential

Extension to the $N - d$ case and lack of N.C. in the super-critical case

■ Extension to the $N - d$ case : N.C. for $\lambda \leq \lambda_*(N)$

- ▶ V./Zuazua (J. Funct. Anal, 2008) :
specific geometric conditions (ω circles the singularity)
use cut-off arguments + spherical harmonics + $1 - d$ estimates
- ▶ Ervedoza (preprint, 2008) :
no geometric condition
extension of Carleman estimates to the $N - d$ case

■ Lack of N.C. in the super-critical case $\lambda > \lambda_*(N)$

- ▶ Ervedoza (preprint, 2008) :
regularized potential + sequence of eigenfunctions
whose energies concentrate around the singularity
 \rightsquigarrow lack of observability (when $0 \notin \omega$)

IV. Parabolic problems with an inverse-square potential

Other related results

■ Wave and Schrödinger equations with inverse square potentials

- ▶ V./Zuazua (preprint, 2008) : boundary control
→ N.C. for $\lambda \leq \lambda_*(N)$
 - multiplier method + specific Hardy type inequality :

$$\int_{\Omega} (x \cdot \nabla \varphi)^2 dx \leq R_{\Omega}^2 \int_{\Omega} \left(|\nabla \varphi|^2 - \lambda_*(N) \frac{\varphi^2}{|x|^2} \right) + \frac{N^2 - 4}{4} \int_{\Omega} \varphi^2$$

for all $\varphi \in H_0^1(\Omega)$ and with $R_{\Omega} := \max_{x \in \Omega} |x|$.

- limit of the method : multiplier centered at the singularity
 \rightsquigarrow non expected restriction on the region of control
(e.g., Ω convex \rightsquigarrow control on the *whole* boundary)
→ Lack of N.C. for $\lambda > \lambda_*(N)$
- ▶ current work with L. Baudouin :
 - derive hyperbolic Carleman estimates for problems with singular potentials?
 - weaken the geometric conditions?

V. Conclusion and perspectives

Initial motivation : linearized Crocco equation

boundary layer model



Prandtl's equations



nonlinear degenerate



{ Crocco's transformation
+ linearization

Crocco's equation

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} u_t + b(y)u_x - (a(y)u_y)_y = 0 \quad x \in (0, L), y \in (0, 1) \\ + \text{ boundary and initial conditions} \end{array} \right.$$

- double degeneracy : $A(x, y) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & a(0) \end{pmatrix}$ with $a(0) = 0$
- other difficulty : $b(1) = 0$

V. Conclusion and perspectives

Application to the linearized Crocco equation

- Motivated the analysis of 2 simplified models :

- ▶ Martinez/Raymond/V. (2003) :

$$\begin{array}{rccccccc} u_t & + & b(y)u_x & - & (a(y)u_y)_y & = & 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ u_t & + & u_x & - & u_{yy} & = & 0 \end{array}$$

- ▶ Cannarsa/Martinez/V. (2005, 2007)

$$u_t - (a(y)u_y)_y = 0 \quad \text{with } a(0) = 0$$

- Application : partial results for the Crocco type equation

$$u_t + u_x - (a(y)u_y)_y = 0 \quad \text{with } a(0) = 0$$

- Perspective : $u_t + b(y)u_x - (a(y)u_y)_y = 0$ with $b(1) = 0$

- Other perspectives : Fleming-Viot model, Budyko-Seller model...